ICAR-INDIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW DELHI -110012

No. 8-257/2025-RTI 3283

Dated: 20/03/2025

To.

Appellate Authority & Jt. Director(Admin.) - Cum - Sr. Registrar I.A.R.I, New Delhi - 110012

Sub:- RTI Online Appeal of Sh. Mukesh Kumar Reg. No. IAGRI/A/E/25/00018 dt. 20/03/2025.

Respected Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith RTI Appeal of Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Delhi Pincode - 110001.

The subject matter pertains to the CPIO(s) working underyou, hence the appeal is forwarded for further necessary action.

As per DOPT instructions vide O.M.No. 1-1/2013-IR dt. 21-10-2014, all replies in r/o RTI Applications and Appeals are to be uploaded onto IARI website (copy already available at IARI website). Accordingly it is requested to send scanned pdf format of copies of such replies to the "webmaster@iari.res.in" for uploading the same at appropriate place.

This application is already sent online.

Thanking You,

Yours Faithfully

Nodal Officer (RTI)

Encl: As above.

Distribution:

1 Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Delhi Pincode - 110001.

2. Incharge, AKMU for uploading onto IARI website under "RTI Application".

HOME SEARCH ASSESSMENT MASTER UPDATION ANNUAL RETURN UTILITIES REPORT LOGIN HISTORY LOGOUT

UPDATE ONLINE APPEAL

RTI Appeal No.	IAGRI/A/E/25/00018 Click here to print a	appeal	
RTI Request Registration No.	IAGRI/R/T/25/00005		
RTI Request Registration Date	27-01-2025		
Personal Details:-			
Name	Mukesh kumar		
Gender	Male		
Address	Delhi		
Pincode	110001		
Country	India	and the same at the same	
State	Delhi -		
Status	Urban	COLD TO LARRY SON CONTROL SMITTER	
Educational Status	Literate	***************************************	
Educational Degree	Above Graduate		
Phone No.	Details not provided	**************************************	
Mobile No.	Details not provided	Control Condet Control Congress of Pilos	
Email-ID	misterdharam24@gmail.com		
Appeal Details :-		and the same of th	
Citizenship	Indian		
Is the Requester Below Poverty Line	No		
Does it concern the Life or Liberty of a Person	Details not provided		
Ground For Appeal	Refused access to Information Requested		
CPIO of Public Authority approached	Shubhda Gahlot (P-II Section)		
Concerned Appellate Authority	Details not provided		
CPIO's Order/Decision No.	Details not provided		
CPIO's Order/Decision Date	Details not provided	47 - 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 12	
Prayer or Relief Sough	With due respect, I kindly request the following information :1. How many Assistants were recruited through the ICAR IARI Assistant Grade Exam 2022?2. Were the benefits of technical resignation, such as benefit of past		
Appeal document	Appeal document not provided.		
* Select an Action	FORWARD APPEAL TO CONCERNED APPELLATE AUTHORITY -		
* Mode of Action	Choose Mode of Action O Physical Forwarding Online Forwarding		
 Select Appellate Authority (To whom the appeal will be forwarded.) 	P.K. Jain (Joint Director Administration-Cum-Sr. Registrar	•) •)	

RTI APPEAL DETAILS				
RTI Appeal Registration No. :	IAGRI/A/E/25/00018	RTI Appeal Received Date :	20/03/2025	
RTI Request Registration No. :	IAGRI/R/T/25/00005	RTI Request Registration Date :	27/01/2025	
Name:	Mukesh kumar	Gender:	Male	
Address:	Delhi			
Pin Code:	110001			
State:	Delhi	Country:	India	
Phone:	Details not provided	Mobile No :	Details not provided	
Email:	misterdharam24@gmail.com			
Status :	Urban	Educational Status :	Above Graduate	
Citizenship :	Indian	Is Appellant below poverty line?:	No	
CPIO of Public Authority Approached :	50589	CPIO's Order/Decision Date:	Details not provided	
CPIO's Order/Decision No. :	Details not provided			
Ground For Appeal:	Refused access to Information Requested			
	With due respect, I kindly request the following information: 1. How many Assistants were recruited through the ICAR IARI Assistant Grade Exam 2022?2. Were the benefits of technical resignation, such as benefit of past service, carry forward of leaves, TTA, etc., granted to these recruited Assistants? If yes, please specify the rule or provision under which these benefits were provided.3. If the answer to point 2 is yes then please provide no. Of Assistants who were given the benefits as mentioned i point 2.4. Were such benefits extended to any Assistant who had not cleared the probation period in his/her previous service? In other words, was the criterion of clearing the probation period taken into consideration while granting these benefits?			
	[5::1[5:			
	Print CI	ose		